

APR 2 2 2014

MICHAELE KUNZ CHAR

Donald Jackson 3723 North 16th Street Philadelphia, PA 19140

**CIVIL ACTION** 

Plaintiff,

No.

14

2310

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Gaudenzia Inc. 106 West main Street Norristown, PA 39401

Defendant.

#### CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Donald Jackson, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

#### I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violation by Gaudenzia Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") of the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA).

#### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

2. This action is initiated pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA). This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

را) در الود الا

- 3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under laws of the United States.
- 4. Venue is Properly laid in the District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conducts business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in the judicial district.

#### III. Parties

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 6. Plaintiff is an adult with an address as set forth above.
- 7. Defendant provides addiction treatment and recovery services.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendant.

#### IV. Factual Background

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 10. Plaintiff worked for Defendant for approximately two years.
- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Drug and Alcohol Peer Specialist.
- 12. Plaintiff voluntarily resigned on August 28, 2013.
- 13. The reason for resignation by Plaintiff was the threat of termination due to attendance.
- 14. Plaintiff did not resign for any reason other than the threat of termination.
- 15. Plaintiff was diagnosed with asthma, high blood pressure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and arthritis.
- 16. Plaintiff missed periodic days from work in mid 2012 mid 2013.
- 17. Plaintiff missed most of his periodic days from work in mid-2012 through mid-2013 due to severe asthma attacks and/or other reason related to his asthma/COPD.

- 18. When Plaintiff first filed for short term disability, it was denied.
- 19. Plaintiff filed for short term disability on May 25, 2013.
- 20. Defendant approved Plaintiffs short term disability.
- 21. Defendant has a neutral attendance policy and utilized the policy during the course and scope of Plaintiffs employment.
- Defendant counted Plaintiffs absences prior to the granting of short term disability, that were related to complications due to asthma, in determining that Plaintiff violated Defendant's neutral attendance policy.
- 23. Plaintiff was harassed by the Human Resources Department asking when would he return to work, and also stating that his FMLA had run out.
- 24. Following the expiration of FMLA, Plaintiff was advised that if there was any more absenteeism he would be terminated.
- 25. Plaintiff's health benefits were terminated by Defendant of August 31, 2013.
- 26. Plaintiff used all of his allotted sick and vacation time, mostly due to reasons related to his asthma.

#### **First Cause of Action**

#### Family and Medical Leave Act

#### (Interference & Retaliation Violations)

- 27. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 28. Plaintiff was clearly an eligible employee under the definitional terms of the Family and Medical Leave act, 29 U.S.C. § 261 l(2)(a)(i)(ii).
- 29. Plaintiff requested leave from the Defendant, his employer, with whom he had been employed for at least twelve (12) months pursuant to the requirements of 29 U.S.C.A. § 261 l(2)(i).
- 30. Further, Plaintiff had at least 1,250 hours of service with Defendant during the prior twelve (12) months.

3

- 31. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs fifty (50) or more employees for each working day during each of the twenty (20) or more calendar work weeks in the current or proceeding calendar year, pursuant to U.S.C.A. § 2166(4)(A)(i).
- 32. Plaintiff was entitled to receive leave pursuant to U.S.C.A. § 2612(a)(1) for a total of twelve (32) work weeks of leave.
- 33. Defendant violated multiple sections of the FMLA by impermissibly counting absences towards a neutral attendance policy that were FMLA-qualifying.
- 34. Defendant further violated the FMLA by failing to provide Plaintiff with an individualized notification of his FMLA rights and obligations when he was missing periodic days from work in 2012-2013, and Defendant improperly designated absences as unexcused.
- Due to Defendant's failure to provide individualized notice and the fact that Plaintiff would have missed less that 12 weeks of work within 1 year if properly notified and if his absences were properly designated as FMLA-qualifying, Defendant has violated the FMLA.
- 36. Defendant further failed to engage in a good-faith interactive process with Plaintiff about his need(s) for intermittent leave.
- 37. Defendant's actions as aforesaid constitute interference violations of the FMLA.
- 38. Defendant also unlawfully retaliated against Plaintiff for requesting a medical leave that qualified for FMLA protection and for requiring same.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice, or custom of discriminating against employees based on their use of the FMLA, and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such discrimination and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for

Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay

increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and

seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he

first suffered discrimination at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated damages as permitted by applicable law, in an

amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant

for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct, and to deter Defendant

or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court

deems just, proper and appropriate;

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal

fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set

forth in applicable federal law;

G. Plaintiffs claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in

accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted, BOWMAN &

PARTNERS, LLP

By:

Michael A. Bowman, Esquire
1600 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215)391-4300

Dated: April 21, 2014

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the intermation on tained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadit of other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Donald Jackson  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPTIN (I.S. PLAINTIFE CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Michael A. Bowman, Esq.  Bowman & Partners LLP, 1600 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 391-4300			DEFENDANTS Gaudenzia, Inc.						
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDJ	CTION (Place an "X" in ()	ne Box (Inly)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
U.S. Government Plaintiff  U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pri		PTF	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		<b></b> 6	<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	I RI	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 46dical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detaince 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	O 65	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	422 Appe   423 With 28 U   PROPEI   820 Copp   830 Pater   840 Tradi	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTYRIGHTS rrights at emark  SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	375 False C	laims Act capportion it nd Bankir rce tion er Influen Organizat er Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory A tural Acts mental M n of Infort ion strative Pr iew or Ap Decision ationality of	ment  ng  ced and ions  odities/ ctions  atters mation  ocedure
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court tute under which you ar tuse:  IS A CLASS ACTION	re filing (1		r District  utes unless di  C	HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		<del>com</del> plair □ No	nt:
DATE 04/21/2014 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	JUDGE SIGNATUR OF ATT	TOPPEYO	OF RECORD JUDGE	DOCKE	T NUMBER  AI  MAG. JUD		2014	

# Plase 2:14-cv-02310 Thre Document 1 strict 04/22/14 Face 7 (18 - 2310)

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	10140
Address of Plaintiff: 3723 North 16th Street, Philadelphia, PA	
Address of Defendant: 106 West Main Street, Norristown, PA 194	01
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Pennsylvania	
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held	corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No 🖾
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No M
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge Date Tem	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending action in this court?	Ja Har
3. Does this ease involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered care terminated action in this court?	Waiting
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed	
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:  B.	
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.	
2. □ FELA 2. 1	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3.	
4 Antitrust 4.	/
5. □ Patent ) 5.	$\leq w$
6. 🗷 Labor-Management Relations	IND
7. Civil Rights 7.	
8. Habeas Corpus 8.	
9. D Securities Act(s) Cases 9.	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	
11.   All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)	APR 2 2 2014 )
Michael A. Bowman , counsel of record do hereby certify:	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages rec	coverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: April 21,2014	0.1.7.60
Attorney-at-law	81762 Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance	
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case new pending on within one year previ	ously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: April 21,2014	81762
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I D #

CIV. 609 (5/2012)



## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Donald Jackson	:	CIVIL ACTION	
v.	: : :	14	2310
Gaudenzia, Inc.	:	NO.	

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

#### **SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:**

(Civ. 660) 10/02		APR 27 2014	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(215)391-4300	(215)391-4350	mbowman@bowmanltd.	com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	·
April 21, 2014	Michael A. Bowman	Donald Jackson	
(f) Standard Management	t – Cases that do not fall into any o	one of the other tracks.	(x)
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into tracks as complex and that need special of e side of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal injury or	property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	quired to be designated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
	es requesting review of a decision denying plaintiff Social Security B		( )
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.		( )